



Status Update for MINERvA

July 24, 2010

Gabriel N. Perdue

The University of Rochester

ICHEP Paris 2010 - Neutrino Parallel Session



Overview



- Why MINERvA?
- Beam and Detector.
- Current Analysis Efforts.
- The MINERvA Test Beam.
- Conclusions and Future Outlook.

MINERvA



The MINERvA Collaboration


- About 80 nuclear and particle physicists from 21 institutions.





Why MINERvA?

- **What:** Dedicated neutrino-nucleus cross-section experiment running at Fermilab in the NuMI beamline.
- **Why:** Provides critical input to future neutrino oscillation experiments.
- **Why:** Low energy (less than 10 GeV) cross-sections are poorly measured.
- **Why:** Unique (weak-only) probe of the nucleus. Many poorly measured quantities of interest (axial form factors as a function of A and Q², quark-hadron duality, x-dependent nuclear effects, etc.).




MINERvA Coverage

No MiniBooNE results on this plot, but hold that thought...




Why MINERvA?, Cont.

- Open questions in interaction physics abound. For example:
 - MiniBooNE & SciBooNE are in agreement, but conflict with NOMAD data at higher energy. MINERvA is well suited to address this discrepancy.






The NuMI Beam





- The mean energy of the NuMI ν beam is tuned by changing the position of the target relative to the focusing horns (move the target in or out of the first horn).
- Extremely intense - $<35e12>$ P.O.T. per spill at 120 GeV with a beam power of 300-350 kW at ~0.5 Hz.
- Current run plan is $4e20$ P.O.T. in the “low-energy” (LE) configuration and $12e20$ P.O.T. in the “medium-energy” (ME) configuration.
- Targeting ~10% for the absolute flux uncertainty.





The Best Thing Since Sliced Bread...



The MINERvA detector is comprised of a stack of **MODULES** of varying composition, with the MINOS Near Detector acting as a muon spectrometer. Finely segmented (~32 k channels) with multiple nuclear targets (C, CH, Fe, Pb, He, H₂O).








MINERvA Modules




Modules have an outer detector frame of steel and scintillator...




...and an inner detector element of scintillator strips and absorbers/targets.



Extruded scintillator & wavelength shifting fibers.




Charge-sharing for improved position resolution (~3.5 mm).



Planes are mounted stereoscopically in XU or XV orientations for 3D tracking.



Installation Highlights



- **Modules constructed above ground and lowered ~100 meters by crane into the NuMI Near Detector Hall.**
- **~3/5 installed November 12, 2009. Our “Frozen Detector.” Ran in anti- ν mode through Spring 2010.**
- **Began installation of final ~2/5 in early January, 2010. Continued anti- ν run during construction.**
- **Installation completed (including all nuclear targets except He and H₂O) on March 18, 2010 & began ν data run on March 22, 2010.**



Analysis Efforts

- **GAUDI based software framework (ATLAS, LHCb).**
- **Grid-based production (300 slots).**
 - **Can re-process our current anti- ν data set in ~couple of days of real time.**
 - **Primary focus is calibration, validation, and automation for our anti- ν and growing ν data sets.**
 - **Also producing preliminary kinematic distributions for our anti- ν data set.**
 - **Validation of the full detector ongoing - not ready for the public yet.**
 - **Expect ~9 million CC events in the active scintillator over the course of our full Run Plan (LE+ME, NEUGEN prediction).**




Anti- ν Data Set Analysis




- Understanding MINERvA Event Displays:
 - Typically show only one view, scintillator strip vs. module (Z) position.
 - X view is essentially looking at the detector from above.
 - Tracking resolution is ~3.3 mm; Timing resolution is ~4.5 ns.

Anti- ν Event Candidates



Michel Electron Candidate








Kinematic Distributions

Anti- ν Data





- Anti- ν Data: Inclusive CC analysis.
 - Momentum analyze muons in MINOS using curvature - implies a few GeV cutoff. Momentum is at the vertex.
 - Cut on high quality tracks in both detectors and clean vertices - characterization still a work in progress.






Kinematic Distributions

Anti- ν MC




- MC generator is GENIE v2.6.0 with a full GEANT4 detector simulation.
- 4.04e19 P.O.T. in anti- ν mode (RHC).
- Studying inclusive anti- ν QE events - signature is a single muon (reconstruct sign in MINOS). Note the cut-off in momentum - this is not our full kinematic range!
- Current absolute flux uncertainty on the (untuned anti-nu) MC is ~30-40%. (This error is not shown.)





v Data Set Analysis (Full Detector)

Began taking data on March 22.
Kinematic distributions and early analyses coming soon!






Data Quality Checks - Live-time, Live Channels, Occupancy





Inner Detector - Hit Occupancy

NHits for Strip (y) vs Module (x)



Outer Detector - Hit Occupancy

NHits for Bar (y) vs Module (x)



→ Beam Direction

Detector Health:

- >95% livetime
- ~20 (inner detector) + ~10 (outer detector) dead channels out of ~32,000!




MINERvA Test Beam

- Running at the FNAL Meson Test Beam Facility (MTBF).
- Provide hadronic response calibration (ratio of π/μ).
- 40 planes in XUXV stereoscopic orientation using the same scintillator and absorber geometry.
- Reconfigurable - can shuffle absorber configuration to mimic any part of the detector.
- Just finished first physics run June 10 - July 17, 2010.



Test Beam - New Tertiary Beamline



- **16 GeV pion beam on a Cu target produces tertiary pion beam from 400 MeV to 1.2 GeV.**
- **Four wire chambers, two dipole magnets, and time-of-flight system for triggering.**
- **Now part of the facility!**





Conclusions

- **MINERvA is in the early stages of analysis of our anti- ν data set, and just beginning the analysis of the first leg of our “low-energy” ν data set.**
- **Everything is on track for a useful and interesting set of measurements!**
- **Look for some exciting distributions and preliminary results in the near future!**



Thanks for Listening!



Back-Up Slides



The MINERvA Collaboration



D.A.M. Caicedo, C. Castromonte, G.A. Fiorentini, H. da Motta, J.L. Palomino

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C. Simon, B. Ziemer

University of California, Irvine, California

L. Bagby, D. Boehlein, R. DeMaat, D.A. Harris*, J. Kilmer, J.G. Morfin, J. Osta, A. Pla-Dalmau, P. Rubinov, D. Schmitz, R. Stefanski

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois

J. Grange, J. Mousseau, R. Napora, B. Osmanov, H. Ray
University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida

J. Felix, A. Higuera, Z. Urrutia, G. Zavala

Universidad de Guanajuato, Division de Ciencias e Ingenierias, Leon Guanajuato, Mexico

M.E. Christy#, R. Ent, C.E. Keppel, P. Monaghan, T. Walton, L. Zhu

Hampton University, Hampton, Virginia

A. Butkevich, S. Kulagin

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

I. Niculescu, G. Niculescu

James Madison University, Harrisonburg, Virginia

E. Maher

Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts, North Adams, Massachusetts

R. Gran, M. Lanari

University of Minnesota-Duluth, Duluth, Minnesota

L. Fields, H. Schellman

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois

N. Tagg

Otterbein College, Westerville, Ohio

A. M. Gago, N. Ochoa, C. E. Perez, J. P. Velasquez

Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Peru, Lima, Peru

S. Boyd, S. Dytman, I. Danko, B. Eberly, Z. Isvan, D. Naples, V. Paolone

University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

A. Bodek, R. Bradford, H. Budd, J. Chvojka, M. Day, R. Flight, H. Lee, S. Manly, K.S. McFarland*, A. McGowan, A. Mislicvec, J. Park, G. Perdue, J. Wolcott

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York

G. Kumbartzki, T. Le, R. Ransome#, B. Tice

Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey

M. Jenkins, S. Kopp, L. Loiacono

University of Texas, Austin, Texas

H. Gallagher, T. Kafka, W.A. Mann#, W. Oliver

Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts

M. Aliana, C.J. Solano Salinas

Universidad Nacional de Ingenieria, Lima, Peru

W. Brooks, E. Carquina, G. Maggi, C. Peña, I. Potashnikova, F. Prokoshin

Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile

L. Aliaga, J. Devan, M. Kordosky, J.K. Nelson, J. Walding, D. Zhang

The College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia

* Co-Spokespersons

Members of the MINERvA Executive Committee



@ Currently at Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile



MINERvA Modules



Modules have an outer detector frame of steel and scintillator...




...and an inner detector element of scintillator strips and absorbers/targets.




- Four basic module types:
 - Tracker: two scintillator planes in stereoscopic orientation.
 - Hadronic Calorimeter: one scintillator plane and one 2.54-cm steel absorber.
 - Electromagnetic Calorimeter: two scintillator planes and two 2-mm lead absorbers.
 - Nuclear Targets: absorber materials (some with scintillator planes).
- Instrumented outer-detector steel frames.
- 120 Total Modules: 84 Tracker, 10 ECAL, 20 HCAL, 6 Nuclear Targets.



Plastic scintillator strips form the active detector elements.



Extruded scintillator & wavelength shifting fibers.




Charge-sharing for improved position resolution (~3.5 mm).



Strips are bundled into PLANES to provide transverse position location across a module.


Fibers bundled into cables to interface with 64 channel multi-anode PMT's.



Planes are mounted stereoscopically in XU or XV orientations for 3D tracking.




Flexible Energy of the NuMI Beam



Pions with
 $p_T = 300 \text{ MeV}/c$ and
 $p = 5 \text{ GeV}/c$
 $p = 10 \text{ GeV}/c$
 $p = 20 \text{ GeV}/c$


*Vary ν beam energy
by sliding the target
in/out of the 1st horn*




(Slide courtesy of S. Kopp.)



The NuMI Beam - Flux





- GEANT3-based Monte Carlo using FLUKA to calculate the flux of particles off the target.
- Evacuated decay pipe (now filled with He gas).
- Fluxes calculated at the center of the detector (1030.99m from the upstream end of horn 1).
- All fluxes (for display purposes) are plotted at a single point, whereas the MINERvA detector is large in transverse size. This is properly taken into account when calculating Monte Carlo data sets for MINERvA.
- Goal for the flux uncertainty is ~10%.



LE = low energy target, horns separated by 10m, target at z= -10cm; LE010/185kA.
ME = low energy target, horns separated by 23m, target at z= -100cm; ME100/200kA




We can plot the neutrino flux in terms of $\phi_\nu(E_\nu)$
OR
in terms of variables related to hadron yields off the target $\phi_\nu(x_F, p_T)$





NuMI Beam Configurations



- Can vary
 - Horn current (p_T kick supplied to π 's)
 - Target Position (x_F of focused particles)
- Plots show (x_F , p_T) of π^+ contributing to neutrino flux.
- Similar plots exist for kaons
- Minerva will acquire data from total of 8 beam configurations



Explanatory Notes for Previous Page

- Graphs are made with older Geant3-based Monte Carlo in which fluka was used to calculate flux of particles off the target, these were fed into Geant3 for tracking through the resto fo the beam line.
- Fluxes are calculated at 1040m from the target (front face of MINOS), so off by ~0.2% for Minerva and not worth addressing in a talk.
- Keep in mind that all fluxes (for display purposes) are plotted at a single point, whereas the Minerva detector is large in transverse size. The transverse location of the neutrino interaction in the detector will affect the flux and the energy spectrum of the beam by a small amount, which is properly taken into account when calculating Monte Carlo data sets for Minerva, but is left out of these display plots.
- In the box plots, the z axis of the plot is proportional to the vertical axis of the 1D histograms – flux at the detector location. Thus, the box plots are telling us which particles off the target largely contribute to the neutrino flux at the detector.



Full MINERvA Event Rates

Assume 4e20 POT in LE and 12e20 PT in ME Configurations

Fiducial Mass: CH = 3 tons, He = 0.2 tons, C = 0.15 tons, Fe = 0.7 tons, Pb = 0.85 tons, H₂O = 0.3 tons

NEUGEN Predictions

Target	Expected CC Event Sample (millions)
CH	9
He	0.6
C	0.4
Fe	2.7
Pb	2.7
H ₂ O	1

Main CC Physics Topic	Statistics in CH Scintillator
Quasi-Elastic	0.8 M
Resonance Production	1.7 M
Transition: Resonance to DIS	2.1 M
DIS, Structure Functions, High-x PDF's	4.3 M DIS
Coherent Pion Production	89 K CC, 44 K NC
Strange & Charm Production	>240 K
Generalize Parton Distributions	~10 K




Performance

- **Kinetic energy needed to cross 10 planes:**
 - $p > 175 \text{ MeV}$, $\pi^\pm > 85 \text{ MeV}$, $\mu > 70 \text{ MeV}$.
 - **EM shower $e, \gamma > 50\text{-}60 \text{ MeV}$.**
- **μ Reconstruction:**
 - **85-90% stop in MINERvA or MINOS.**
 - **$\delta p/p \sim 5\%$ for stoppers, 10-15% via curvature.**




Full Detector Analysis



Events in the full detector are very busy...




Full Detector Analysis



...time separation is critical!




Full Detector Analysis





PID with dE/dX



MC indicates
this will be a
successful
method.

Candidate proton
track from the
anti-v data set.

